

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2002	Below Average	Excellent	N/A
2003 2004	Good	Excellent	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM								
		Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003		
Passed all 3 subtests	66.0	65.4	71.8	61.5	64.0	64.7		
Passed 2 subtests	16.0	19.2	15.4	19.6	18.2	20.4		
Passed 1 subtest	12.0	7.7	5.1	11.5	11.5	9.0		
Passed no subtests	6.0	7.7	7.7	7.4	6.3	5.3		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS						
	Exit Exam Rate by Sp		Eligibility Scholar		Graduati	on Rate
All O(l(n 47	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	47	91.5	54	7.4	57	84.2
Gender	00	20.0	07	0.7	07	74.4
Male	20	90.0	27	3.7	27	74.1
Female	27	92.6	27	11.1	30	93.3
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	27	88.9	34	2.9	37	81.1
Hispanic	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S
White	19	94.7	19	15.8	19	89.5
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	4	I/S	10	0.0	10	30.0
Students without disabilities	43	95.3	44	9.1	47	95.7
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	54	7.4	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	47	91.5	54	7.4	57	84.2
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	22	90.9	27	3.7	33	81.8
Full-pay meals	25	92.0	27	11.1	24	87.5
n = number of students on which per	centage is calc	ulated				

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	7.4	8.4
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	9.3	9.4
Seniors who met the grade point average	38.9	41.2

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 237)				
Retention rate	6.5%	Down from 8.6%	8.9%	7.3%
Attendance rate	93.7%	Up from 93.0%	95.6%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	4.2% 20.7%	Down from 6.4% Down from 22.7%	4.0% 13.2%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	11.4% 2.1%	Down from 12.3% Down from 2.4%	12.4% 2.6%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	11.0% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate	4.4%	Up from 2.7%	3.5%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	91.1%	Up from 26.1%	6.5%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	158	Up from 153	313	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	38.2%	Down from 63.4%	28.2%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	75.6%	Down from 76.2%	73.7%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	95.5%	Up from 90.9%	99.3%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 25)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	28.0%	Down from 30.4%	48.0%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	92.0%	Up from 87.0%	83.0%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A 81.5%	N/A Up from 80.4%	N/A 84.4%	N/A 85.1%
Teacher attendance rate	95.3%	Up from 94.4%	95.8%	95.8%
Average teacher salary	\$43,078	Up 4.5%	\$39,467	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	7.7 days	Up from 7.5 days	9.0 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	3.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	17.8 to 1	Down from 21.2 to 1	24.2 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	87.8% \$9,643	Up from 86.2% Up 9.9%	89.7% \$6,659	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries*	58.6%	Up from 56.2%	57.7%	57.8%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Up from 93.4%	96.7%	87.8%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.				

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

This has been a very good year for RS-M High. The school was recognized for the Highest SAT gains in the state for 2001-02. A \$50,000 award was given, which was used to purchase books, new library furniture, band uniforms, instructional materials and much more.

The school received an "Excellent" rating by the High School Report Card Survey for making academic improvements. Along with receiving a \$1,500 award, the school received a banner and was recognized by the state and Aiken school board. In addition, by Title One Standards, the school received a "Satisfactory" rating for meeting academic gains.

Our current tenth graders had an 89.7% passing rate on the Exit Exam in math and reading. That is the highest in twenty years. Over 42% of our graduating seniors participated in the SAT testing for this year, with an estimated average of 950. Our students realize the importance of a good education and many will continue their studies after high school.

Parents and community people are always welcome to visit the school and give their input. Together, we can continue to make improvements and strengthen our educational program for the students.

As I have always said, we have "The Best Little High School In South Carolina."

Thank you for your support.

Bill Ward, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
Teachers	Students	Parents				
26	41	45				
100.0%	50.0%	80.0%				
92.0%	63.4%	75.6%				
84.6%	80.5%	79.5%				
	Teachers 26 100.0% 92.0%	Teachers Students 26 41 100.0% 50.0% 92.0% 63.4%	Teachers Students Parents 26 41 45 100.0% 50.0% 80.0% 92.0% 63.4% 75.6%			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.